

Related projects

by Ovidiu Predescu, Jeff Turner

NOTICE: Copyright © 2002-2003 Ovidiu Predescu and Jeff Turner. All rights reserved.
The Ant eater manual may be reproduced and distributed in whole or in part, in any medium, physical or electronic, so long as this copyright notice remains intact and unchanged on all copies.

1. Related projects

There are a number of related open source Java projects in the realm of functional testing:

1.1. Latka

[Latka](#) is an Apache Jakarta project with the same aims as Ant eater. It has an equivalent set of *validators*, good documentation, and much better HTTP/HTTPS support than Ant eater due to its use of the [HttpClient API](#).

In terms of implementation, Latka plays it very straight, implementing its own scripting engine with the SAX API. No distinction is made between parse time and execution time. The general Latka API is clean and well-designed.

Ant eater's primary advantage over Latka is the flexibility engendered by building on top of the Ant engine. Latka scripts do not let one set properties (although properties can be passed in), or the ability to group tests (Ant targets). However, it looks likely that future version of Latka will be based on [Jelly](#), an XML scripting language that is a functional superset of Ant, and would thus form an excellent base for a functional testing tool.

1.2. WebTest

[Canoo WebTest](#) is another Ant-based functional testing system. It is primarily aimed at testing HTML sites, with a number of HTML-specific validators, and the ability to *script*

interactions over multiple HTML pages. In contrast, Ant eater is more more low-level, but contains better support for XML and web services testing. WebTest and Ant eater are thus quite complementary, especially since both run in Ant.

1.3. PushToTest TestMaker

[PushToTest TestMaker](#) is a relatively mature product, having been in development for 5 years (see [this general@jakarta email](mailto:general@jakarta.com)). TestMaker scripts are written in Python; more specifically, [jython](#), which compiles Python scripts into Java bytecode. They have a custom Java API (TOOL) which is the core testing code, and is used in jython scripts.

The approach of using Python as a testing language sounds really good. Why mess around inventing XML scripting languages when you could use a real one? Python is one of the best, and by using the Jython compiler, one gets all the portability of Java too.

In practice, I'm not too sure how well it works. The TestMaker scripts look very low-level, and overly complicated for what they do. But then I haven't really explored much, so don't take this criticism too seriously.

TestMaker is a curious project in the sense that it seems primarily an integration effort, combining the NetBeans API, Jython and a testing API together to create an integrated testing system. The result is good, especially if you like IDEs.